# **RBE549** Computer Vision HW0

Rajus Nagwekar RBE Department Worcester Polytechnic Institute Worcester, MA Email: rmnagwekar@wpi.edu Using 1 late day

Abstract—In this assignment, we implement boundary detection using the probability of boundary (pblite) algorithm. For phase 2, we implement a few neural network architectures for classification problems to compare the models with each other.

### I. PHASE 1

We implement the probability of boundary (pblite) algorithm. The pb-lite algorithm considers texture and color discontinuities which enables it to out-perform traditional methods like Sobel and Canny which only look for intensity discontinuities. We implement the probability of boundary (pb-lite) algorithm. The pb-lite algorithm considers texture and color discontinuities which enables it to outperform traditional methods like Sobel and Canny which only look for intensity discontinuities. on the image. Three distinct filter bank sets will be established for this purpose. After filtering the image with these filters, a texton map, which illustrates the texture within the image, will be generated by grouping together the responses from the filters.

1) Oriented DoG Filters: The Derivative of Gaussian filters are created by convolving a Sobel filter and a Gaussian kernel to take different orientations of the output. The filter bank contains DoG filters of size 15x15 at 16 orientation and 2 different scales.



Fig. 1: Pb-lite Pipeline

mds August 26, 2015

## A. Filter Banks

The initial stage of the pb lite boundary detection process involves using a collection of filter banks

## . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

# 

## Fig. 2: DoG Filters

2) Leung-Malik Filters: The Leung-Malik filters, also known as LM filters, are a collection of 48 filters that vary in scale and orientation. It includes 36 filters that are first and second order derivatives of Gaussians at 6 orientations and 3 scales, 8 filters that are Laplacian of Gaussian (LOG) and 4 filters that are Gaussians.



Fig. 3: LML Filters



Fig. 5: Gabor Filters

4) Half-Disc Masks: Half-disc masks are binary images that depict half-circles. These masks are crucial as they permit the computation of chi-square distances through filtering, which is much faster than iterating over each pixel's neighborhood and gathering counts for histograms.



Fig. 4: LMS Filters

*3) Gabor Filters:* Gabor filters are modeled after the filters found in the human visual system. They are created by combining a Gaussian kernel function with a sinusoidal plane wave.



Fig. 6: Half-Disk Filters

# B. Mapping

1) Texton Map: Applying each element of the filter bank to an input image produces a vector of filter responses for each pixel. To simplify this representation, each N-dimensional vector is replaced by a discrete texton ID by grouping the filter responses at all pixels in the image into K textons using k-means clustering.



Fig. 7: Texton Map



Fig. 9: Color Map

2) Brightness Map: A brightness map is a representation of the variations in brightness within an image. The process is done by clustering the brightness values of the image using k-means clustering into a specified number of clusters, resulting in a brightness map **B**.

## C. Gradients

We use the Half-Disc Masks obtained earlier to calculate gradients of the mappings, thus acquiring  $T_g, B_g, C_g$ . They encode how much the texture, brightness and color distributions are changing at a pixel. We compare texton, brightness and color distributions with the  $\chi^2$  measure. The  $\chi^2$  distance is a frequently used metric for comparing two histograms.  $\chi^2$  distance between two histograms g and h with the same binning scheme is defined as follows.



Fig. 8: Brightness Map

3) Color Map: The purpose of a color map is to identify variations in color or chrominance within an image. This is done by using k-means clustering to group the color values of the image into a chosen number of clusters, resulting in a color map **C**. One can also choose to cluster each color channel separately.



Fig. 10: Texton Gradient

feature strength to form the final boundary strength value, which should work well as a starting point.



Fig. 11: Brightness Gradient





Fig. 13: Sobel Baseline

Fig. 12: Color Gradient

# D. Pb-Lite Output

The final step is to combine information from the features with a baseline method (based on Sobel or Canny edge detection or an average of both) using a simple equation

$$PbEdges = \frac{T_g + B_g + C_g}{3} \times (w1*cannyPb + w2*solution) + w2*solution + w2*solu$$

The magnitude of the features represents the strength of boundaries, thus, the average of the feature vector at location is proportionate to the boundary strength. Though, other methods to combine the features can be investigated for improved performance. A simple approach is to use the elementwise product of the baseline output and the mean



Fig. 14: Canny Baseline



#### Fig. 15: Pb-Lite Output

## II. PHASE 2

For the purpose of this assignment, three different types of neural networks were trained using the CIFAR10 dataset. The provided starter code was modified to obtain training and testing checkpoints. The input size of the images for these neural networks is 3x32x32.

The SGD optimizer was used as it gave reliably higher accuracy scores than the ADAM optimizer. The cross-entrophy loss function was used.

Due to hardware constraints, the models could not be trained for as long as one could've hoped.

#### A. Simple Network

The simple network consists of 3 successive convolutional layers followed by a linear layer and a softmax layer. It uses the Cross entrophy loss function and the SGD optimizer.

[652 26 75 24 51 16 9 23 101 23] (0) [ 36 750 20 24 24 7 22 18 35 641 (1)21 496 [118 54 104 62 45 67 22 11](2)27 116 400 103 108 79 43 37 18] (3) [ 69 67 9 112 60 522 53 27 131 15 41 (4)44 13 144 143 72 411 20 91 (5)62 82 44 34 83 94 110 33 522 35 11] (6)34 39 35 12 700 3 72 77 44 7 111 (7)[100 40 24 17 17 12 13 12 743 22] (8) [ 73 132 31 31 19 19 31 62 36 566] (9) (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)Accuracy: 57.62 %

Fig. 16: Simple Model Confusion Matrix

# B. Improved Network

The network was improved using the batch normalization method and L2 regularization to reduce overfitting of the data.

# C. ResNet

ResNet-9 is a small convolutional neural network architecture that was introduced in the paper "Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks". It is a variation of the ResNet architecture that uses fewer layers, making it a smaller and more computationally efficient model. ResNet-9 is commonly used as a building block for larger, more complex models, and is particularly well-suited for image classification tasks. The model's architecture is composed of several residual blocks, which are designed to alleviate the vanishing gradients problem that can occur in deep neural networks. The ResNet-9 model is trained using backpropagation and uses the softmax activation function in the output layer.

The model lacked some training due to hardware issues but gave promising performance.

[	472	17	111	54	205	24	30	37	21	29]	(0)
[	56	542	31	66	62	19	56	26	36	106]	(1)
[	104	15	362	96	205	76	29	69	14	30]	(2)
[	57	20	90	392	173	88	79	53	19	29]	(3)
[	74	11	92	102	509	57	26	101	12	16]	(4)
[	32	9	109	212	148	332	60	57	16	25]	(5)
[	75	38	46	183	141	67	342	34	26	48]	(6)
[	74	5	44	68	196	43	36	506	9	19]	(7)
[	193	50	44	103	86	20	73	19	328	84]	(8)
[	90	87	33	81	33	13	80	30	28	525]	(9)
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Accuracy: 43.1 %											

#### Fig. 17: ResNet Confusion Matrix

## D. DenseNet

DenseNet is a convolutional neural network architecture that was introduced in the paper "Densely Connected Convolutional Networks". It is known for its dense connectivity pattern in which each layer is connected to all other layers in a feed-forward fashion. This dense connectivity pattern allows for efficient information flow and reduces the number of parameters required for the network. DenseNet is particularly well-suited for image classification and segmentation tasks. Again the model was not able to train enough for it to provide very good results but was able to show promise that it would perform well.

[	242	41	168	45	165	36	38	81	59	125]	(0)
[	17	604	31	24	13	27	20	45	32	187]	(1)
[	29	34	395	92	92	140	37	106	18	57]	(2)
[	17	24	91	340	72	238	70	86	14	48]	(3)
[	24	25	95	109	293	124	35	250	16	29]	(4)
[	12	13	110	132	39	479	50	129	8	28]	(5)
[	17	45	52	123	34	109	469	84	16	51]	(6)
[	14	6	57	39	51	84	24	666	8	51]	(7)
[	48	67	58	51	33	45	29	33	513	123]	(8)
[	19	87	43	38	7	24	36	57	19	670]	(9)
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Accuracy: 46.71 %											

Fig. 18: DenseNet Confusion Matrix

# III. CONCLUSION

Although the simple network outperforms the others, it can simply be attributed to a lack of training. The tried and tested models have shown much better performance on datasets with extensive amount of training.